**MID-LIFE PROJECT EVALUATION** setting the stage for continued funding

ATE PI CONFERENCE | OCTOBER 2015 | WASHINGTON DO

#### **PRESENTED BY**

Lori Wingate, EvaluATE Kirk Knestis, Hezel Associates Celeste Carter, NSF







#### **OBJECTIVES**

**UNDERSTAND** the fundamentals of Intellectual Merit and Broader Impacts **IDENTIFY GAPS** in evaluation data that need to be addressed in order to make a strong case for new funding

**FILL GAPS** with low-cost, high-impact evidence

**CREATE** a persuasive Results from Prior NSF Support section for proposals

1

2

3

4







#### NSF CRITERIA: INTELLECTUAL MERIT & BROADER IMPACTS

- Every NSF ATE project should make a difference in terms of both merit criteria
- Every proposal is reviewed against both merit criteria
- Results from Prior Support must be explicitly described in terms of both merit criteria

#### NSF CRITERIA: INTELLECTUAL MERIT & BROADER IMPACTS

- Evaluation of every project must examine implementation and impact in terms of both **merit criteria**
- Activities proposed—then implemented and evaluated—will typically fall into two categories defined by the **merit criteria**



#### **REAL PROJECT ACTIVITIES Intellectual Merit Broader Impacts** The activity is about The activity is about the contributing to greater improvement, capacity understandings of building, or preparation STEM technician of some STEM training... innovation... ...generally ...generally RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT

#### **REAL PROJECT ACTIVITIES**

- Activities described in a proposal will be influenced by factors in the real world
- The purpose of "research and development" makes responsive, purposeful changes an OK thing
- Evaluation strategies must accommodate and document—such changes at the activity level

# **REAL PROJECT ACTIVITIES**

#### **Intellectual Merit**

- increased retention of
- tested the initial efficacy of a student-led, projectbased course, which was formerly didactic
- published results and lessons learned in peerreviewed journal

#### increased retention o female students in course sequence by 25%

• created agreements with 3 local employers for program that places 50 students per year in paid internships

15

























# **ACTIVITY 2**

Review your achievements related to INTELLECTUAL MERIT. If you have evidence of those achievements, describe the evidence briefly on a **BLUE** sticky note.

2 Review your achievements related to BROADER IMPACTS. If you have evidence of those achievements, describe the evidence briefly on a **PINK** sticky note.

Place your blue and pink **EVIDENCE NOTES** under the logic model headings where you think they fit best.

INTELLECTUAL MERIT: Advances in Knowledge Mentored former community college students who have transferred to local 4-year college to research the causes for high dropout rates among first-generation, minority students through in-person and mobile technology-based qualitative data collection techniques	We adapted a peer- mentoring model for engaging underrepre- sented minority students directly in data collection and analysis—an approach not documented in the literature. We have had two papers accepted for publication on both the design and the results of this study.
BROADER IMPACTS: Benefit to society and contribut serving groups that have historically been underrepresented in STEM? Replaced all traditional remedial courses for students in technician programs with online, on-demand, competency-based modules; augmented online learning with drop-in support sessions lead by specially trained faculty	ions to desirable societal outcome Too early for graduation data, but enrollment data show that 50% of students are on track to graduate within 3 years, compared with 15% prior to elimina- tion of remedial courses at our college and 10% of students nationally who take remedial courses

3







# **FOLLOW THE RULES**

Do not exceed 5 pages

# **FOLLOW THE RULES**

Make it the first section of the proposal

# **FOLLOW THE RULES**

Identify the prior project's

- title
- NSF award number
- period of support

#### **FOLLOW THE RULES**

Use these exact, distinct headings:

- Intellectual Merit
- Broader Impacts

# **FOLLOW THE RULES**

Include complete bibliographic citations for all publications –may go in References

# SUGGESTIONS

Provide a brief factual account of what the project did, created, and who was engaged

#### SUGGESTIONS

Describe the project's Intellectual Merit and Broader Impacts, with as much evidence as possible

#### SUCCESTIONS

Be forthright about what didn't work and lessons learned

# SUGGESTIONS

Describe how the current proposal is building on results from prior work

#### SUGGESTIONS

Describe what aspects of previously funded work are being sustained without NSF support

# FOR MORE INFORMATION

Read Lori's blog on this topic:

www.evalu-ate.org/blog/wingate-oct2015

with links to helpful **RESOURCES** for understanding and writing about Intellectual Merit and Broader Impact